PALGO JOURNAL OF EDUCATION RESEARCH

ISSN 2476-8332
DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17295236
Volume 10 Issue 4, September 2025.Page
http://www.palgojournals.org/PJER/Index.htm
Corresponding Author's Email: yekinni.opeyemi2416@fcesoyo.edu.ng

EFFECTIVENESS OF AUGMENTED REALITY VISUAL ALERTS ON COMMUNICATION AND NAVIGATION FOR DEAF AND HARD OF HEARING INDIVIDUALS

Opeyemi Mutiu Yekinni*[1], Idara Joy Ekanem[2], Abiodun Sadia Alaka[3], Yusuff Shola Olojede[4] & Dairo Ifetayo Elijah[5]

Federal College of Education (Special) Oyo, Oyo State, Nigeria[1,3,4]
Department of Counselling Psychology,Bamidele Olumilua University of Education Science and Technology, Ikere Ekiti, Nigeria[2]
Department of Physical Health Education Lead City University[5]

Accepted 29, September, 2025

The communication and navigation needs of Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals remain pressing issues in both developed and developing contexts, particularly in countries such as Nigeria where accessibility technologies are still limited. This article is a position paper that examines the potential of Augmented Reality (AR) as an assistive technology to bridge communication gaps, enhance safety, and promote independence for DHH individuals. Unlike an empirical study that tests interventions or a review that synthesizes prior evidence, this paper advances an informed argument by drawing on recent studies and global innovations to support the adoption of AR in Nigerian and broader African contexts. Specifically, it highlights AR applications such as real-time captioning, see-through displays, and visual navigation cues, and argues for their transformative role in inclusive communication, mobility, and education. By situating the argument within Nigeria's socio-economic realities, the paper advocates for increased investment, policy support, and collaborative innovation to make AR solutions affordable and culturally adaptable for DHH communities.

Keywords: Augmented Reality, Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Communication, Navigation, Accessibility, Inclusive Education, Nigeria

Introduction

Communication and navigation are central to everyday life, yet Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals often encounter barriers in accessing information that others take for granted. In many societies, essential cues such as alarms, traffic signals, flight announcements, and classroom instructions are communicated through sound. For DHH individuals, the absence of accessible alternatives creates significant exclusion and increases vulnerability in critical situations. This exclusion is particularly evident in Nigeria and other developing contexts where inclusive infrastructures are still evolving, and resources for disability support remain limited. Augmented Reality (AR), as an emerging technological innovation, offers an opportunity to bridge these gaps by transforming auditory information into visual alerts that can be perceived seamlessly in real-time. The relevance of this discussion becomes even more pressing as governments and institutions globally continue to emphasize inclusion as a pathway to achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially Goal 4 on quality education and Goal 10 on reducing inequalities.

Its central objective is to explore the potential of AR as an assistive technology for enhancing communication, navigation, and learning among DHH individuals in Nigeria. Specifically, the paper seeks to answer the following questions:

1. In what ways can AR applications (e.g., real-time captioning, sound-to-visual alerts, navigational prompts) address the communication and navigation barriers faced by DHH individuals?
2. How could AR be integrated into Nigerian classrooms, workplaces, and public infrastructures to promote inclusion?
3. What are the anticipated challenges (e.g., cost, cultural acceptance, accessibility) and how might these be addressed to ensure sustainable adoption?

The potential of AR lies in its ability to overlay digital information onto the physical environment, enabling DHH individuals to receive alerts and cues in forms that match their sensory strengths. For instance, AR glasses can display captions for conversations, provide real-time visual translations of environmental sounds, or indicate navigation routes with clear markers. While these innovations show promise, they are not intended to replace all traditional aids (such as sign language or hearing devices), but rather to complement them by addressing gaps across multiple contexts. The adaptability of AR allows it to support classrooms, workplaces, public transport, and healthcare facilities—ensuring that communication and navigation challenges are addressed in a holistic manner. In societies where accessibility remains underdeveloped, adopting AR may represent an important step toward dismantling systemic barriers that have historically excluded DHH individuals from full participation in social, educational, and economic life.

Nigeria presents a particularly compelling case for the integration of AR technologies, given the structural challenges that affect people with hearing impairments. Despite national policies advocating inclusive education, classrooms often lack the necessary tools and resources to cater adequately for DHH students. Teachers may rely solely on sign language interpreters, who are not always available, leaving gaps in communication and comprehension. In public spaces, there is minimal provision for visual alerts to complement auditory signals, putting DHH individuals at risk in areas such as traffic control, health emergencies, or disaster warnings. By embedding AR visual systems in learning and public infrastructures, Nigerian society could provide equitable access to information, ensuring that DHH individuals can navigate their environments more independently. The introduction of such technologies would not only foster inclusivity but also align with the nation’s broader agenda of digital transformation and innovation-driven development.

The significance of AR visual alerts goes beyond accessibility; it also contributes to fostering autonomy and confidence among DHH individuals. When a person can independently follow a lecture through real-time captions, identify hazards in their environment, or receive navigation prompts without relying on third parties, the psychological impact is profound. It strengthens their sense of agency, reduces dependence on interpreters or caregivers, and enhances participation in decision-making processes. Furthermore, AR holds potential for transforming learning experiences, particularly in STEM fields where visual demonstrations can bridge comprehension gaps caused by communication barriers. This underscores why AR should be considered a promising tool for inclusive education and safety rather than simply an optional luxury.

Therefore, the discussion of AR must be situated within a broader call for inclusive development and technological innovation. The barriers faced by DHH individuals in communication and navigation are not inevitable but are consequences of societies failing to provide alternative solutions. By carefully adopting AR technologies—while addressing issues of cost, accessibility, and cultural acceptance—Nigeria and other developing nations can accelerate inclusion, improve safety, and create enabling environments where DHH individuals thrive equally alongside their hearing peers. This paper thus positions AR as a potentially transformative intervention that could redefine the experiences of DHH individuals, enabling them to live, learn, and work with greater independence and dignity.

Problem Statement

The persistent marginalization of Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals in communication and navigation contexts reflects a critical accessibility gap in contemporary society. While technological advancements have enhanced social inclusion for many groups, auditory-dependent systems such as alarms, announcements, and navigational signals continue to disadvantage those with hearing loss. In Nigeria and other developing contexts, this problem is more pronounced due to limited investment in assistive technologies and weak policy frameworks supporting disability inclusion. Traditional solutions such as hearing aids or sign language interpretation, though valuable, are insufficient in dynamic environments where immediate responses to auditory information are necessary for safety and

independence. Augmented Reality (AR), with its potential to transform auditory cues into visual alerts, offers a new frontier for addressing these barriers. However, its adoption faces challenges related to cost, accessibility, and cultural acceptance. The absence of effective integration of AR solutions into public systems perpetuates inequality, denying DHH individuals equitable participation in education, employment, and mobility. Therefore, it becomes imperative to investigate and advocate for the use of AR visual alerts as a sustainable, innovative, and inclusive solution to bridge communication and navigation gaps for DHH individuals, particularly in Nigeria where the demand for equitable technological interventions is urgent.

AR and Communication Enhancement

Augmented Reality (AR) offers groundbreaking opportunities for improving communication among Deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals by transforming auditory information into accessible visual formats. Unlike traditional assistive devices such as hearing aids, which amplify sound, AR leverages the power of digital overlays to create real-time captions, gesture recognition, and sign language translation directly within a person's visual field. This means that conversations, lectures, and meetings can be instantly captioned, ensuring that DHH individuals remain fully engaged without relying on an interpreter or written transcripts provided after the fact. Studies have shown that real-time captioning not only enhances comprehension but also reduces communication fatigue, which often arises from lip-reading or straining to follow sign
interpretation (Nweke & Adeyemi, 2023). By bridging the gap between spoken and visual communication, AR provides a

more inclusive platform for dialogue.

One of the unique strengths of AR in communication enhancement is its context-specific adaptability. For example, AR glasses can detect speech from different speakers in a group setting and display color-coded captions, allowing the DHH individual to follow conversations without confusion. In classrooms, AR systems can transcribe a teacher's lecture into captions while also providing visual cues for emphasis on key points, making learning more engaging and less dependent on interpreter availability. In work environments, AR can be integrated into meetings, ensuring that DHH employees receive simultaneous updates as their hearing colleagues, thus eliminating the delay and misinterpretation often associated with manual note-taking or third-party mediation. These features reflect AR's potential to support not just individual communication but also broader social inclusion, where DHH individuals are able to contribute equally in collaborative spaces.

Furthermore, AR is redefining interpersonal communication by facilitating interaction between hearing and non-hearing individuals who may not share a common language. While sign language remains a rich cultural and linguistic system for the Deaf community, not all hearing individuals are proficient in it. AR-powered sign language recognition and translation tools are emerging to bridge this divide by instantly converting signs into text or speech, and vice versa. This technology not only eases daily conversations but also fosters cross-cultural understanding, reducing the communication gap between Deaf and hearing populations. In contexts like healthcare, where accurate communication is vital, AR translation can ensure that patients with hearing impairments express their needs clearly without risking misdiagnosis due to misunderstandings (Oladipo, 2024). This shows how AR communication tools extend beyond accessibility, serving as critical enablers of equity in essential services.

Another critical advantage of AR is its potential to reduce social isolation among DHH individuals. Communication barriers often discourage participation in community events, religious gatherings, and social outings, leading to exclusion and loneliness. With AR, captions and visual alerts can be made available in real time during public speeches, ceremonies, or even casual conversations in noisy environments. This promotes active engagement and encourages DHH individuals to participate more fully in social and cultural life. Research indicates that access to effective communication technologies significantly improves psychological well-being and self-esteem among persons with disabilities (Okonkwo & Eze, 2022). By breaking down barriers that isolate DHH individuals, AR enhances not just communication but also social belonging and emotional health.

Lastly, AR is an innovative solution that corresponds to the world-wide demand to inclusively transform digital. Whereas the conventional methods of accessibility have centered on compensatory technologies, AR reinvents the way communication should be in an interactive, equitable, and empowering way. AR is effective in that it gives independence, and there is no need to have an interpreter or the family members to conduct daily communication. To Nigeria and other developing countries, the inclusion of AR in education, healthcare, and government institutions would not only empower a better communication of the deaf and hard of hearing but also show goodwill on inclusive development as per the United

Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD). So, AR is to be perceived as a revolution in communication improvement, a process that shifts the society towards equity and full inclusion of every citizen.

AR and Navigation/Safety

Navigation and safety are essential components of daily life, yet they remain significantly challenging for Deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals because many safety cues are primarily auditory. Sounds such as car horns, sirens, fire alarms, train announcements, or verbal safety warnings are crucial for avoiding danger and moving confidently in public spaces. The inability to access these signals places DHH persons at a heightened risk of accidents and restricts their independence in navigating complex environments. Augmented Reality (AR) addresses this gap by converting these auditory alerts into real-time visual notifications that can be displayed on AR glasses or mobile devices. For instance, a flashing symbol for an approaching vehicle or a vibration alert synced with a visual icon for fire alarms could immediately inform the user of potential dangers. By providing alternative sensory input, AR empowers DHH individuals to perceive safety-critical information with the same urgency as their hearing peers.

Beyond emergency signals, AR enhances navigation in everyday contexts such as commuting, shopping, or finding services in unfamiliar locations. For example, AR applications can overlay step-by-step visual directions in a user's environment, guiding them through busy streets or large buildings where traditional signs may be insufficient. In transportation systems like airports, bus stations, or train terminals, AR can display live updates of departure times, delays, or platform changes, which are often only announced via loudspeakers. This ensures that DHH individuals are not left stranded or uninformed due to inaccessible communication channels. Research has shown that AR-based navigation tools not only improve efficiency in mobility but also reduce anxiety and dependence on others (Ogunleye & Bello, 2023). This highlights how AR can bridge accessibility gaps by turning auditory navigation aids into inclusive, context-sensitive tools.

Another significant contribution of AR lies in its ability to integrate environmental awareness with safety cues. For example, AR systems can recognize and visually highlight moving vehicles, traffic lights, or construction zones, allowing DHH users to make informed decisions while crossing streets or navigating crowded areas. In a smart city context, AR

devices can connect with IoT (Internet of Things) systems, receiving alerts from sensors about traffic changes or hazardous areas and transmitting them visually to the user. Such innovations are especially crucial in urban areas with high traffic density, where missing an auditory cue could mean life-threatening danger. Studies in human-centered computing suggest that these integrated AR systems significantly reduce risks for vulnerable populations and foster safer, more inclusive urban living (Chukwuma & Adebanjo, 2024). Thus, AR enhances not just individual safety but also broader public safety infrastructures.

In addition, AR has transformative potential in emergency response and disaster management for DHH individuals. During crises such as fires, earthquakes, or building evacuations, instructions are usually given through alarms or shouted warnings, which DHH persons may not hear. AR visual alerts can provide immediate, personalized evacuation instructions, directing users to the safest exit routes with animated arrows or flashing signals. In healthcare emergencies, AR systems can notify DHH users of approaching medical staff or emergency vehicles, ensuring timely response. By embedding life-saving information into a person's visual field, AR minimizes reliance on intermediaries and ensures that DHH individuals are not left behind in critical situations. Evidence suggests that assistive AR solutions significantly increase response speed and survival outcomes for persons with disabilities during emergencies (Okoro & Ibrahim, 2022).

Conclusively, the AR is very effective in navigation and safety, it brings in independence, promotes dignity and equality. The use of friends, relatives or interpreters to move about makes many DHH feel dependent and this hinders self-confidence. AR offers a route to increased independence by allowing DHH users to have direct access to safety-relevant and navigational data in real time. AR can be incorporated into the public safety programs, schools, and cities in countries such as Nigeria, where infrastructural services do not adequately support persons with disabilities to make them inclusive. In addition to its technical advantages, AR reinvents access by acknowledging the right of DHH people to act freely in the world and safely. Hence, AR in navigation and safety cannot be only defined as a technological innovation but as a measure of social justice without undermining well-being by allowing full involvement in society.

Educational Implications

Education is a central arena where Augmented Reality (AR) can transform the experiences of Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) learners. In traditional classrooms, teaching relies heavily on verbal explanations, auditory cues, and spoken interactions, which can marginalize students with hearing impairments. Even with the presence of sign language interpreters or note-takers, delays in communication often reduce real-time engagement and comprehension. AR has the potential to bridge this gap by providing instant visual alerts, captions, and interactive simulations directly in the learner's visual field. For example, AR glasses can transcribe spoken words into captions that appear in real time, allowing DHH learners to follow lessons seamlessly. By reducing barriers to information flow, AR enhances inclusivity and ensures that DHH students can access knowledge at the same pace as their hearing peers, thereby reducing educational inequalities.

Furthermore, AR can promote active and experiential learning by incorporating interactive visual models into classroom instruction. Mathematics, science, and engineering subjects often involve abstract concepts that can be difficult to grasp through static teaching methods. For DHH learners, who rely more on visual processing, AR can project 3D models of molecules, geometrical shapes, or engineering designs that students can manipulate and explore. This makes complex ideas more concrete and accessible. A study by Adeyemi and Kolawole (2023) revealed that integrating AR-based visualizations in mathematics classrooms significantly improved the performance of students with hearing impairments compared to traditional chalk-and-board teaching methods. Such evidence reinforces the idea that AR is not only an accessibility tool but also a pedagogical innovation that leverages the visual learning strengths of DHH students.

Another key implication of AR in education is its role in facilitating communication and collaboration among students. Group discussions and peer interactions are critical aspects of learning, but DHH learners often face exclusion in these contexts due to communication barriers. AR applications can provide real-time captioning of peer conversations or highlight gestures and sign language in augmented formats to make group work more inclusive. In virtual or hybrid classrooms, AR can enable DHH students to follow online discussions more effectively, ensuring they are not disadvantaged in digital learning environments. This interactive element not only improves comprehension but also fosters a sense of belonging, reducing isolation among DHH learners. Thus, AR enhances both academic and social dimensions of education for students with hearing impairments.

Moreover, AR can serve as a valuable support tool for teachers working with DHH learners. In inclusive classrooms, teachers often struggle to balance the needs of hearing and non-hearing students, especially when resources are scarce. AR-based teaching aids can relieve some of this burden by offering automated captioning, visual signaling for classroom instructions, or digital prompts for key concepts. This allows teachers to focus more on personalized teaching rather than constantly mediating communication challenges. In teacher training programs, AR can also be used to simulate the classroom experiences of DHH learners, thereby helping future educators understand accessibility issues more deeply. Research by Okafor and Salami (2024) suggests that teacher preparedness significantly improves when they are trained with AR-supported simulations, ultimately leading to better instructional outcomes for DHH students.

The integration of AR into education for DHH learners carries broader implications for inclusive policy and curriculum design. Policymakers and school administrators must recognize AR as a strategic tool for bridging accessibility gaps in

line with global frameworks such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 4) on inclusive and equitable quality education. However, the adoption of AR requires investment in digital infrastructure, teacher training, and policy support to ensure that its benefits reach marginalized learners. If effectively implemented, AR can transform classrooms into more equitable learning environments where DHH students participate fully without being hindered by communication or sensory limitations. This shift would not only empower individuals but also contribute to building a more inclusive society where education becomes a tool of empowerment rather than exclusion.

Counter-Arguments: Cost and Accessibility

One major criticism of AR adoption is its high cost and technological demands. AR glasses remain relatively expensive, often priced beyond the reach of low-income families. Ibrahim (2023) argued that assistive technologies in Nigeria frequently fail due to unsustainable reliance on imported devices, limited repair infrastructure, and short battery life. Furthermore, reliable internet connectivity, which is crucial for real-time captioning and hazard detection, is inconsistent in many rural areas. Accessibility concerns also extend to potential inequalities, as only privileged individuals may benefit from AR innovations,

leaving others excluded. These critiques suggest that while AR has potential, its implementation in low-resource contexts might widen rather than bridge accessibility gaps. Addressing these cost and infrastructure challenges is therefore crucial to ensure equitable adoption in Nigeria.

While the promise of augmented reality (AR) in enhancing communication and navigation for Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals is compelling, critics often argue that cost remains a significant barrier to widespread adoption. AR devices such as smart glasses and headsets are expensive, often priced beyond what many families, schools, or individuals in low- and middle-income countries can afford. For instance, commercial AR glasses can cost several hundred to thousands of dollars, making them inaccessible to a majority of DHH learners in regions where poverty levels are high and educational budgets are limited. This financial burden raises concerns about whether AR innovations will genuinely promote equity or simply benefit a privileged minority. In this sense, critics argue that AR risks deepening the digital divide rather than closing accessibility gaps if affordability is not addressed.

Accessibility is another critical concern often raised in counter-arguments. While AR is designed to enhance inclusion, its effectiveness depends on supporting infrastructure such as internet connectivity, electricity, and maintenance facilities. In many parts of Nigeria and other developing countries, poor digital infrastructure poses a serious limitation to AR deployment in schools and communities. Without reliable access to stable power supply and high-speed internet, AR applications cannot function effectively, making them less practical in underserved areas. This lack of accessibility raises the question of whether AR represents a realistic solution for DHH individuals in such contexts or whether it risks becoming a technology reserved only for urban elites. Critics therefore contend that without broader infrastructural reforms, AR adoption may fail to reach those who need it most.

Another counter-argument centers on the potential over-reliance on technology at the expense of traditional support systems. DHH individuals have long relied on sign language, interpreters, captioning services, and community-based strategies for communication and navigation. While AR may complement these supports, critics fear it could lead to the marginalization of these established systems if policymakers or schools assume that technology alone can solve accessibility challenges. Furthermore, an overemphasis on AR could potentially create dependence, where DHH individuals are disadvantaged if devices malfunction, become obsolete, or are unaffordable to maintain. These concerns highlight the importance of balancing technological solutions with traditional human-centered approaches.

The issue of usability also contributes to skepticism about AR's effectiveness. Some critics argue that AR devices may not be practical for long-term daily use due to discomfort, visual strain, or limited battery life. For instance, wearing AR glasses throughout a school day or during outdoor navigation could be burdensome, particularly for children. Additionally, many AR platforms are still in the early stages of development and may lack the accuracy, cultural sensitivity, or contextual adaptability required for effective use by DHH individuals. If visual alerts are poorly timed, distracting, or inaccurate, they could lead to confusion rather than clarity. Thus, skeptics suggest that the technology must be refined further before it can be considered a reliable tool for everyday accessibility.

Critics emphasize the risk of exclusion if AR is not paired with deliberate policy interventions to ensure inclusivity. If only wealthier schools, institutions, or individuals can access AR, DHH students in underfunded public schools may fall further behind. This inequality could reinforce systemic disparities in education and employment, undermining the very goals of inclusion that AR seeks to promote. Critics therefore argue that while AR holds great promise, its benefits will remain unevenly distributed unless governments, non-governmental organizations, and private sector stakeholders prioritize affordability, accessibility, and sustainability. By highlighting these limitations, counter-arguments push for a more cautious and context-sensitive adoption of AR, ensuring that it serves as a tool for equity rather than an instrument of exclusion.

Counter-Arguments: Social and Cultural Acceptance

Beyond economic barriers, critics raise cultural and social concerns about AR adoption. The Deaf community values sign language as an identity marker and may view AR technologies as diminishing its role. Okoro and Adediran (2024) argued

that prioritizing technological solutions risks sidelining Deaf culture and reinforcing dependence on hearing norms. Additionally, AR glasses could attract stigma, with users being perceived as "different" or "disabled," thereby discouraging adoption. Privacy concerns are also valid, given that many AR systems rely on environmental data capture, which raises fears about surveillance and misuse. Finally, some argue that overreliance on AR could lead to visual fatigue and reduced reliance on natural communication strategies. These criticisms underscore the importance of designing AR systems that are

not only technologically efficient but also culturally sensitive, socially acceptable, and protective of user dignity.

Another counter-argument to the use of augmented reality (AR) for Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals is the question of social and cultural acceptance. Assistive technologies often face skepticism, not only from the wider hearing society but also within the DHH community itself. Some individuals perceive reliance on technological devices as stigmatizing, fearing that wearing visible AR tools such as smart glasses may set them apart even further. For many, identity and pride are closely tied to Deaf culture, where sign language and community solidarity are central. In this light, critics argue that AR might unintentionally reinforce the idea that DHH individuals need to be “fixed” through technology, rather than respected for their unique linguistic and cultural identities. Such concerns highlight that accessibility solutions must be evaluated beyond their functional benefits to consider how they interact with cultural values.

A related challenge lies in the perception of AR as an intrusive or alienating tool in social interactions. Unlike natural sign language communication, AR devices may draw unwanted attention in public spaces, leading to discomfort or even discrimination. For example, wearing bulky AR glasses in classrooms, workplaces, or public environments might expose DHH individuals to social ridicule or misconceptions. These fears can discourage adoption even when the technology is available. Critics therefore argue that until AR devices become more discreet, affordable, and socially normalized, their effectiveness will remain limited by societal attitudes. Cultural acceptance is, therefore, as important as technical efficiency in determining the real-world success of AR innovations.

Resistance can also emerge from within educational systems and family settings where traditional approaches to communication support are already established. Many teachers and parents may prefer familiar tools such as interpreters, captioning services, or visual aids, regarding AR as unnecessary or overly complex. In Nigeria, where teacher training in inclusive education is often inadequate, introducing AR may face resistance due to lack of knowledge and confidence in handling the technology. Parents may also fear that AR could replace human interaction, undermining children’s reliance on social networks. This cultural hesitancy illustrates how innovation can clash with entrenched norms and practices, even when it promises improvement.

Generational differences further complicate the cultural acceptance of AR. Younger DHH individuals, who are often more open to digital tools, may embrace AR with enthusiasm, while older members of the community may view it with suspicion. Older individuals might associate AR with unnecessary modernization or even fear it as a replacement for the values of Deaf culture, such as sign language. These intergenerational tensions can create divisions within the community, affecting collective advocacy for accessibility solutions. Critics therefore suggest that AR should not be imposed without thorough community consultation and cultural sensitivity, or else it risks fragmenting the DHH community rather than uniting it.

Lastly, critics warn that the introduction of AR could contribute to the erosion of sign language as a cultural and linguistic heritage. If visual alerts and captions become the primary means of communication, sign language might be perceived as less necessary, particularly among younger generations who grow up with technology. This raises fears of cultural assimilation, where DHH individuals are encouraged to conform to hearing norms rather than maintain their distinct identity. Such cultural implications underscore the importance of balancing technological adoption with the preservation of cultural and linguistic diversity. Thus, while AR offers significant potential benefits, its effectiveness must be assessed not only in terms of technical outcomes but also through the lens of social and cultural acceptance within the DHH community.

Broader Implications for Nigeria

The adoption of AR visual alerts in Nigeria aligns with global and national commitments to disability inclusion. Internationally, it supports the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, while nationally, it strengthens Nigeria’s National Policy on Education and Disability Act, which emphasizes equal access to services. AR can enhance public safety by ensuring DHH individuals are not excluded from emergency communications and public service announcements. It also creates pathways for DHH individuals to participate in professions that require heightened situational awareness, such as engineering, teaching, and health services. Furthermore, embracing AR positions Nigeria as a leader in adaptive technology within Africa, showcasing its commitment to equity and innovation. Thus, AR visual alerts are not merely assistive devices but strategic tools for advancing human capital, inclusivity, and national development.

The adoption of augmented reality (AR) visual alerts for Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals carries broader implications for Nigeria, particularly in advancing inclusive development. Nigeria has one of the largest populations of persons with disabilities in Africa, with a significant number experiencing

hearing impairments. Yet, access to inclusive technology remains extremely limited, creating barriers to education, employment, and social participation. If implemented effectively, AR could help bridge this gap by offering DHH individuals a more equitable platform for communication and

navigation. This aligns with Nigeria's obligations under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which emphasize equality, accessibility, and participation for all citizens. Thus, AR adoption could strengthen Nigeria's position as a nation committed to inclusive growth and social justice.

In the education sector, AR has the potential to revolutionize teaching and learning for DHH students across Nigeria. Many schools lack trained interpreters and adequate instructional materials to support inclusive education. By integrating AR tools into classrooms, teachers can deliver real-time captions, visual alerts, and interactive content that make learning more engaging and accessible. This could also reduce the learning gap in STEM subjects, such as mathematics and science, where DHH students often struggle due to limited access to abstract concepts. For Nigeria, where the quality of education is a major developmental concern, leveraging AR to support inclusive pedagogy could contribute to national efforts in improving literacy rates, expanding digital learning, and preparing students with disabilities for future employment opportunities.

The implications also extend to public safety and urban development. Nigeria's bustling cities, such as Lagos, Ibadan, and Abuja, present daily mobility challenges for DHH individuals, who cannot rely on auditory cues like car horns, emergency sirens, or public transport announcements. AR-enhanced navigation systems could provide safer, more independent travel experiences by converting sound-based information into visual alerts. This would not only reduce accident risks but also promote confidence and independence for DHH persons in navigating public spaces. In a country grappling with infrastructural inadequacies, such innovation could serve as a cost-effective solution to bridge the accessibility gap in transport and urban environments.

Economically, integrating AR for DHH individuals can create new opportunities for workforce inclusion. Many Nigerians with hearing impairments face unemployment or underemployment due to communication barriers in the workplace. AR could help address this challenge by providing real-time captions during meetings, enabling better collaboration, and ensuring workplace safety through visual alarms. Moreover, embracing AR technology could stimulate Nigeria's digital economy by encouraging local innovation, startups, and collaborations between tech companies and disability organizations. In the long run, this could reduce dependency on foreign technologies and foster homegrown solutions that address the unique challenges of Nigerian society.

Culturally, the implementation of AR in Nigeria must also be handled sensitively to ensure it complements, rather than replaces, Deaf culture and the use of Nigerian Sign Language (NSL). By designing AR tools that integrate NSL and promote cultural identity, Nigeria can set an example of inclusive innovation that respects diversity while embracing technology. Such an approach would demonstrate that accessibility is not about assimilation into hearing norms but about empowerment and equal participation. If adopted with cultural awareness and policy support, AR has the potential to reshape Nigeria's social fabric by fostering inclusion, enhancing national productivity, and strengthening the dignity of citizens with hearing impairments.

Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative position paper approach, drawing on a narrative review and critical synthesis of recent studies, policy documents, and technological reports on Augmented Reality (AR) for Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) individuals. Literature was sourced from databases such as Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar (2019–2024), with emphasis on Nigerian and African contexts while benchmarking global best practices. The analysis involved mapping AR applications into functional categories (e.g., real-time captioning, visual sound alerts, classroom supports) and interpreting their relevance to Nigeria's infrastructural and socio-cultural realities. By integrating perspectives from disability studies, inclusive education, and human-computer interaction, the methodology ensured a balanced discussion of both opportunities and challenges, positioning AR as a promising but context-dependent tool for enhancing communication, navigation, and participation among DHH individuals in Nigeria.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper argues that Augmented Reality (AR) visual alerts hold significant potential for improving communication, navigation, and educational participation among Deaf and hard-of-hearing

(DHH) individuals. By transforming auditory cues into accessible visual information, AR technologies could reduce communication breakdowns, enhance safety in public spaces, and support inclusive classroom learning. While these possibilities are promising, concerns about affordability, accessibility, cultural identity, and privacy remain critical considerations that must be addressed through localized innovation, inclusive policies, and ethical design. Rather than replacing sign language or established Deaf cultural practices, AR should be viewed as a complementary tool that bridges accessibility gaps between DHH and hearing communities. For Nigeria, investing in the gradual adoption of AR solutions could represent a meaningful step toward advancing inclusive education, workplace integration, and public safety, in alignment with both national development goals and the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Ultimately, the effectiveness of AR in this context will depend on sustained research, stakeholder engagement, and thoughtful adaptation to local realities.

REFERENCES

Adebayo, T., & Okeke, C. (2024). Augmented reality-based navigation support for students with hearing impairment in Nigerian universities. Journal of Special Needs Education, 12(1), 45–59.

Adepoju, A., & Yekinni, O. M. (2023). Barriers to inclusive education for students with hearing impairment in Oyo State. Nigerian Journal of Educational Technology, 18(2), 77–92.

Adeyemi, F. (2023). Offline augmented reality innovations for rural accessibility: Implications for inclusive education in Nigeria. African Journal of ICT and Development, 5(3), 101–114.

Adeyemi, F., & Kolawole, S. (2023). Visual learning with augmented reality: Effects on mathematics achievement of students with hearing impairment. Nigerian Journal of Mathematics Education, 15(2), 119–134.

Chen, Y., Liu, P., & Wang, J. (2023). Smart glasses for real-time captioning: Enhancing communication for hard-of-hearing users. Assistive Technology International, 32(4), 211–225.

Chukwuma, D., & Adebanjo, K. (2024). Human-centered computing and smart city accessibility: Implications for Deaf and hard-of-hearing users. West African Journal of Information and Communication Technology, 12(1), 55–71.

Ibrahim, R. (2023). Challenges of adopting assistive technology in developing countries: A Nigerian perspective. West African Journal of Disability Studies, 9(1), 56–70.

Ioannou, A., & Constantinou, V. (2018). Augmented reality supporting Deaf students in mainstream schools: Two case studies of practical utility of the technology. In M. Auer & T. Tsiatsos (Eds.), Interactive Mobile Communication Technologies and Learning (IMCL 2017) (pp. 387–396). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75175-7_39

Live Transcribe. (2024). In Wikipedia. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Live_Transcribe

Luna, S. M., Tigwell, G. W., Papangelis, K., & Xu, J. (2024). Exploring visual scanning in augmented reality: Perspectives from Deaf and hard of hearing users. In Proceedings of the 26th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility (ASSETS '24) (pp. 1–6). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/3663548.3688535

Nweke, C., & Adeyemi, F. (2023). Real-time captioning as a support tool for communication among students with hearing impairment in Nigeria. Journal of Inclusive Education Studies, 11(2), 84–98.

Ogunleye, A., & Bello, M. (2023). Augmented reality-based navigation tools for promoting independence among persons with hearing impairment. African Journal of Assistive Technology Research, 8(2), 102–118.

Okafor, G., & Salami, R. (2024). Teacher preparedness and augmented reality simulations in inclusive education for hearing-impaired learners. International Journal of Special Education and Technology, 9(1), 72–87.

Okeke, F., & Oladiran, B. (2023). Augmented reality as a bridge technology for inclusive communication in mixed-ability settings. Nigerian Educational Review, 29(2), 133–148.

Okonkwo, P., & Eze, J. (2022). Communication technologies and social inclusion of persons with hearing disabilities in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Disability and Rehabilitation, 6(1), 45–61.

Okoro, K., & Adediran, S. (2024). Deaf culture and the risks of technological overdependence: A critical analysis. Journal of African Sign Language Studies, 14(1), 25–40.

Okoro, L., & Ibrahim, T. (2022). Emergency response systems and disability inclusion: Evaluating assistive technologies for hearing-impaired individuals. Journal of Safety and Emergency Studies, 7(3), 89–105.

Oladipo, M. (2024). Cost and sustainability of assistive technologies in Nigeria: Future prospects for augmented reality devices. Nigerian Journal of Science and Technology Education, 20(1), 66–82.

Parton, B. S., Hancock, R., & Dawson, J. (2010). Augmented reality for Deaf students: Can mobile devices make it possible? In P. Forbrig et al. (Eds.), Human–Computer Interaction (HCIS 2010), IFIP Advances in

Information and Communication Technology (Vol. 332, pp. 309–312). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15231-3_37
Ridha, A. M., & Shehieb, W. (2021). Assistive technology for hearing-impaired and deaf students utilizing augmented reality. In 2021 IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/CCECE53047.2021.9569193
Samaradivakara, Y., Pathirage, A., Ushan, T., Sasikumar, P., Karunanayaka, K., Keppitiyagama, C., & Nanayakkara, S. (2025). Tailored real-time AR captioning interface for enhancing learning experience of DHH students. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.02233
Ubur, S. D. (2025). Augmenting captions with emotional cues: An AR interface for real-time accessible communication. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.17171
Wired Staff. (2022, December 21). An app wants to subtitle life for the Deaf and hard of hearing. WIRED. Retrieved from https://www.wired.com
Wired Staff. (2025, May 7). This startup has created AI-powered signing avatars for the Deaf. WIRED. Retrieved from https://www.wired.com
Yamamoto, K., Suzuki, I., Shitara, A., & Ochiai, Y. (2021). See-through captions: Real-time captioning on transparent display for deaf and hard-of-hearing people. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2101.11326
Yekinni, O. M. (2024). Integrating augmented reality into mathematics education for students with hearing impairment in Oyo State. International Journal of Inclusive Education Research, 15(3), 88–104.

Zhang, L., Chen, R., & Xu, M. (2023). Enhancing mobility confidence with AR navigation for deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals. Journal of Assistive Technologies, 17(2), 59–72.